

## **KRR: Unit 6 Formative Activities**

*by Maria Ingold*

### **Activity: Data Acquisition Methods**

This non-assessed activity allows you to check your understanding of the unit topic.

Read Chapter 3 of Solanki, A (2019) [\*An Introduction to Knowledge Engineering\*](#).

- Reflect on the various data acquisition methods.
- What are the advantages and disadvantages of each method?
- Think about scenarios and which methods would be suitable for each.

### **Answer**

While Solanki provides a copy of the book, this book is actually by Kendal & Creen (2007):

<https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-84628-667-4>

They define knowledge acquisition is “the process of acquiring knowledge from a human expert, or group of experts, and using the knowledge to build knowledge-based systems”. Below are the methods they discuss in Chapter 3, broken down by type, advantages, disadvantages and potential scenarios.

Data Acquisition Methods	Advantages	Disadvantages	Scenarios
<b>EXPERT INTERVIEWS</b>			
<b>Expert interviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First-hand knowledge of domain</li> <li>• Quality-check comprehension of domain</li> <li>• Can use different interview methods to extract information</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Time-consuming</li> <li>• AI transcription and summarisation is improving but not perfect</li> <li>• Knowledge extraction time</li> <li>• Cross-checking accuracy</li> <li>• Gap analysis</li> <li>• Iterative</li> <li>• Sometimes difficult to explain intuitive behaviour.</li> <li>• Jargon</li> <li>• Interview stress on expert</li> <li>• Lack of (mutual) respect</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Unstructured</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gain understanding of knowledge domain</li> <li>• Identify areas previously not considered.</li> <li>• Little prior planning needed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unlikely to be complete or well-organised description of knowledge and processes involved.</li> <li>• Time-consuming to do and more to analyse.</li> <li>• Tangents.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Usually first interview.</li> <li>• Find unspecified information on a topic.</li> <li>• Get open customer feedback</li> </ul>
<b>Structured</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Obtain depth of knowledge about specific domain.</li> <li>• Specific rather than general focus</li> <li>• Easier to analyse than unstructured.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Time-consuming but analysis is easier</li> <li>• Narrow</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Usually after one or more unstructured interviews.</li> <li>• Why and how.</li> <li>• 1) Set out topics and goals</li> <li>• 2) Q&amp;A</li> <li>• 3) QA – confirm accurate capture</li> <li>• E.g. ratings on a scale</li> </ul>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>E.g. specific performance requirements met</li> </ul>
<b>Event recall</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>How dealt with a particular event (self-observation in past)</li> <li>Model thought-process</li> <li>Good to confirm completeness of other knowledge-acquisition sessions</li> <li>Help articulate how apply rules to solve a problem</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requires accurate memory.</li> <li>Requires self-awareness to interpret each stage of solution and rules applied</li> <li>Requires ability to interpret and explain.</li> <li>Must develop questions and sequence to questions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Self-observation of decision-making process</li> <li>Good for reviewing case-studies</li> <li>Questions about process in the past</li> <li>E.g. how to plan a menu.</li> </ul>
<b>Thinking aloud</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Capture thinking behind a problem-solving process</li> <li>Fill in knowledge gaps following the structured interview</li> <li>Validate knowledge</li> <li>Capture sequence of steps taken by expert (modelling for success)</li> <li>Can use real or simulated (data-protected) cases</li> <li>Can extend to "introspective"</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Asking too many questions can distract from thinking process.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>After structured interview</li> <li>Questions about process in the present.</li> <li>Ask why and how</li> </ul>
<b>QUESTIONNAIRES</b>			
<b>Questionnaires</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Collect information efficiently from a large number of people</li> <li>Generally simple to analyse because structured</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Can't speak directly with respondent</li> <li>Simple yes/no questions can restrict information obtained</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where have enough data to create well-designed questionnaire</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Can ask open or closed questions</li> <li>• Short yes/no easy to answer</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• E.g. asking about a product to 5000 people in one country</li> <li>• Can do pilot-questionnaire with smaller cohort to refine questions for large-scale questionnaire.</li> </ul>
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>			
<b>Documentation, manuals, case studies, textbooks, articles etc. (online or print)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Familiarise with subject matter.</li> <li>• Learn terminology.</li> <li>• Basic grasp of subject area.</li> <li>• Prevents wasting expert's time for basic explanations.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Documentation may be incomplete, out-of-date, not completely relevant, or difficult to find.</li> <li>• Time-consuming to sift, extract and analyse.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prepare list of questions for expert.</li> </ul>
<b>OBSERVATION</b>			
<b>Watch actions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Better for watching actual process undertaken to model more clearly</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access or ability to be able to observe</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• E.g. joinery - woodworking</li> </ul>
<b>OTHER</b>			
<b>Tutorial interviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good for start of knowledge acquisition for general overview</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More advanced sessions needed for deeper detail and potentially not one-to-one</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• E.g. training on a new technology</li> <li>• Workshops</li> </ul>
<b>Twenty questions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes or no answers only</li> <li>• Good to quickly ask questions of expert</li> <li>• Good to quickly confirm understanding by researcher</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not good for detail</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• After initial understanding</li> </ul>
<b>Trigger Interviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good when have materials to trigger and stimulate expert memories and responses</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not good when don't have material</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Postmortem analysis</li> <li>• Root-cause analysis</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Archive data, structured diagrams etc.</li> </ul>		
<b>Teach Back Interviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good to confirm understanding</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bad if haven't yet learned enough</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• After training sessions, to "certify" knowledge</li> <li>• E.g. good for solution engineers explaining products to customers</li> </ul>
<b>Repertory Grids</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good when have information that can organise into two or three dimensions (e.g. heat map on two-D)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unstructured data without pattern</li> <li>• Data with more than two or three dimensions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More of a tool when data has already been gathered to make sense of it</li> </ul>

## References

Kendal, S.L. & Creen, M. (2007) *An Introduction to Knowledge Engineering*. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-84628-667-4> [Accessed 8 January 2024].